

Part-A

Students feedback about Teachers

A survey was done by the IQAC among students with regard to their opinion about the Teachers through
Structured questionnaire and the followings are the responses of students.

Students feedback about Teachers

1

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Arun Kr. Nayak(AP)

Department: Political Science

No. of Students Opinion: 100

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	B A (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	34	44	19	1	3	408	4.08	1
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	34	39	17	8	0	393	3.93	3
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	26	30	24	4	5	335	3.35	11
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	27	38	26	6	2	379	3.79	5
5	Syllabus is covered completely	36	33	23	6	2	395	3.95	2
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	23	41	25	5	5	369	3.69	8
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	29	36	27	6	2	384	3.84	4
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	27	32	27	10	2	366	3.66	9
9	General interaction with students	24	39	21	4	3	350	3.5	10
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	33	38	20	7	2	393	3.93	3
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	32	34	22	7	3	379	3.79	5
12	Guidence given outside the class room	26	41	18	11	2	372	3.72	7
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	29	26	20	4	8	325	3.25	12
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	36	32	21	4	5	384	3.84	
15	Overall rating of the faculty	37	27	21	7	3	373	3.73	6

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate,
WI = Weighted Index

Value: **E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1**

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Sibkanta Das(AP)

Department: Philosophy

No. of Students Opinion: 06

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	B A (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	4	2	0	0	0	28	4.67	3
5	Syllabus is covered completely	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	1	5	0	0	0	25	4.17	5
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	4	1	1	0	0	27	4.50	3
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
9	General interaction with students	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1
12	Guidence given outside the class room	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
15	Overall rating of the faculty	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: **E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1**

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Indrajit Saha (PGT)

Department: Philosophy

No. of Students Opinion: 06

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	4	2	0	0	0	28	4.67	3
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	4	2	0	0	0	28	4.67	3
5	Syllabus is covered completely	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	3	3	0	0	0	27	4.50	4
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	4	2	0	0	0	28	4.67	3
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
9	General interaction with students	4	2	0	0	0	28	4.67	3
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	5	1	0	0	0	29	4.83	2
12	Guidence given outside the class room	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	3	3	0	0	0	27	4.50	4
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	4	2	0	0	0	28	4.67	3
15	Overall rating of the faculty	6	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Chaitali Banik (Guest Faculty)

Department: Education

No. of Students Opinion: 46

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	B A (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	35	8	0	3	0	213	4.63	1
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	32	10	1	2	1	208	4.52	2
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	29	9	8	0	0	205	4.46	3
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	28	11	2	2	3	197	4.28	7
5	Syllabus is covered completely	27	15	0	4	0	203	4.41	4
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	26	11	6	2	0	196	4.26	8
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	26	7	9	4	0	193	4.20	9
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	19	16	0	7	0	173	3.76	13
9	General interaction with students	28	10	5	3	4	205	4.46	3
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	27	10	7	1	0	198	4.30	6
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	27	10	1	5	1	189	4.11	11
12	Guidence given outside the class room	19	19	4	4	3	194	4.22	10
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	20	14	6	3	0	180	3.91	12
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	28	11	3	2	3	200	4.35	5
15	Overall rating of the faculty	31	6	5	2	2	200	4.35	5

2

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Tirtharam Reang (AP)

Department: Education

No. of Students Opinion: 47

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	22	17	5	3	0	199	4.23	9
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	22	15	4	6	0	194	4.13	12
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	22	14	7	4	0	195	4.15	11
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	28	13	5	1	0	209	4.45	2
5	Syllabus is covered completely	18	26	2	1	0	202	4.30	6
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	28	14	3	2	0	209	4.45	2
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	22	13	12	0	0	198	4.21	10
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	21	19	5	2	0	200	4.26	8
9	General interaction with students	22	19	3	3	0	201	4.28	7
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	25	13	6	3	0	201	4.28	7
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	23	18	4	2	0	203	4.32	5
12	Guidence given outside the class room	24	17	5	1	0	205	4.36	4
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	27	12	6	1	0	203	4.32	5
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	26	15	5	0	0	205	4.36	4
15	Overall rating of the faculty	27	15	5		0	210	4.47	1

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Asish Chakraborty (PGT)

Department: History

No. of Students Opinion: 41

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	17	14	7	1	2	166	4.05	6
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	21	13	4	2	1	174	4.24	2
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	16	15	7	3		167	4.07	5
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	17	17	4	3		171	4.17	4
5	Syllabus is covered completely	16	16	6	2	1	167	4.07	5
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	17	7	10	3	4	153	3.73	13
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	14	17	7	3		165	4.02	7
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	14	14	9	4		161	3.93	11
9	General interaction with students	21	11	6	2	1	172	4.20	3
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	14	16	6	2	3	159	3.88	12
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	21	11	6	2	1	172	4.20	3
12	Guidence given outside the class room	18	10	11	2		167	4.07	5
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	19	10	6	4	2	163	3.98	8
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	16	13	7	4	1	162	3.95	10
15	Overall rating of the faculty	27	10	2	1		183	4.46	1

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Babul Sharma(PGT)

Department: Political Science

No. of Students Opinion: 100

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	57	31	11	1	0	444	4.44	1
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	31	45	21	0	3	401	4.01	6
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	34	35	24	0	1	383	3.83	11
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	28	40	29	6	1	400	4	7
5	Syllabus is covered completely	40	28	30	2	2	408	4.08	3
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	27	48	22	0	2	395	3.95	10
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	32	43	20	1	2	396	3.96	9
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	30	49	16	3	1	401	4.01	5
9	General interaction with students	27	43	27	4	1	397	3.97	8
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	32	40	24	2	1	397	3.97	8
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	35	40	21	3	0	404	4.04	4
12	Guidence given outside the class room	29	45	23	4	0	402	4.02	5
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	27	53	16	3	1	402	4.02	5
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	34	42	18	3	3	401	4.01	6
15	Overall rating of the faculty	44	34	18	3	2	418	4.18	2

2

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers
Name of the Teacher: Pradip Debbarma
Department: History
 No. of Students Opinion: 41

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	18	15	4	0	4	166	4.05	6
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	18	18	1	1	3	170	4.15	3
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	18	13	6	2	1	165	4.02	7
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	17	16	4	3	1	168	4.10	5
5	Syllabus is covered completely	15	16	7	2	1	165	4.02	7
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	14	13	8	1	5	153	3.73	10
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	17	13	7	2	2	164	4.00	8
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	17	11	7	2	4	158	3.85	9
9	General interaction with students	18	16	4	2	1	171	4.17	2
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	17	13	9	0	2	166	4.05	6
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	24	11	5	0	1	180	4.39	1
12	Guidence given outside the class room	19	13	6	1	2	169	4.12	4
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	16	16	6	0	3	165	4.02	7
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	20	10	4	5	2	164	4.00	
15	Overall rating of the faculty	22	9	4	2	4	166	4.05	6

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers**Name of the Teacher: Pranoy Sen****Department: Bengali**

No. of Students Opinion: 68

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	42	19	3	2	3	302	4.44	2
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	42	18	4	1	1	297	4.37	4
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	36	22	6	2	1	291	4.28	7
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	35	22	7	0	12	296	4.35	5
5	Syllabus is covered completely	39	22	5	4	2	308	4.53	1
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	38	18	4	4	0	282	4.15	10
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	41	17	6	3	1	298	4.38	3
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	36	17	9	3	0	281	4.13	11
9	General interaction with students	24	19	10	7	1	241	3.54	12
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	38	19	5	2	0	285	4.19	9
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	34	22	8	1	1	285	4.19	9
12	Guidence given outside the class room	32	15	5	5		245	3.60	12
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	42	13	4	4	2	284	4.18	10
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	35	19	9	2	1	283	4.16	11
15	Overall rating of the faculty	42	14	9	0	0	293	4.31	6

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: **E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1**

Students feedback about Teachers
Name of the Teacher: Rupankar Paul
Department: Bengali
 No. of Students Opinion: 67

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	47	14	3	1	1	303	4.52	3
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	44	15	4	1	1	295	4.40	6
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	46	15	4	2	0	306	4.57	2
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	39	21	5	1	1	297	4.43	4
5	Syllabus is covered completely	39	16	7	1	3	285	4.25	9
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	41	19	3	3	0	296	4.42	5
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	42	17	2	2	3	291	4.34	8
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	39	21	5	1	0	296	4.42	5
9	General interaction with students	48	11	2	1	3	295	4.40	6
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	46	15	4	2	0	306	4.57	2
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	43	16	3	2	0	292	4.36	7
12	Guidence given outside the class room	38	21	5	1	1	292	4.36	7
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	41	17	5	3	2	296	4.42	5
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	40	18	3	0	4	285	4.25	9
15	Overall rating of the faculty	51	9	3	3	1	307	4.58	1

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate,
 WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

11

Name of the Teacher: Snehis Roy

Department: Bengali (H)

No. of Students Opinion: 22

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	15	4	3	0	0	100	4.55	3
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	10	9	1	2	0	93	4.23	8
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	10	10	1	0	0	93	4.23	8
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	15	6	1	0	0	102	4.64	1
5	Syllabus is covered completely	10	9	2	1	0	94	4.27	7
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	10	12	0	0	0	98	4.45	4
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	13	9	0	0	0	101	4.59	2
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	15	4	2	0	0	97	4.41	5
9	General interaction with students	14	5	4	0	0	102	4.64	1
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	15	5	1	0	0	98	4.45	4
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	12	8	2	0	0	98	4.45	4
12	Guidence given outside the class room	10	9	1	2	0	93	4.23	8
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	10	9	2	1	0	94	4.27	7
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	11	6	3	1	1	91	4.14	9
15	Overall rating of the faculty	15	4	1	1	0	96	4.36	6

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate,

WI = Weighted Index

Value:

E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers**Name of the Teacher: Sukanta Das****Department: History(H)**

No. of Students Opinion: 7

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	4	0	0	2	1	25	3.57	5
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	3	1	0	2	1	24	3.43	6
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	3	0	1	1	1	21	3.00	9
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	4	0	1	0	2	25	3.57	
5	Syllabus is covered completely	3	1	1	1	1	25	3.57	5
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	2	1	0	0	4	18	2.57	11
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	3	1	0	0	3	22	3.14	8
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	4	0	0	0	3	23	3.29	7
9	General interaction with students	4	1	0	2	0	28	4.00	4
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	5	0	1	1	0	30	4.29	2
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	4	1	1	1	0	29	4.14	3
12	Guidence given outside the class room	4	1	1	2	1	32	4.57	1
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	5	0	0	2	0	29	4.14	3
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	2	1	1	1	1	20	2.86	10
15	Overall rating of the faculty	4	0	1		1	24	3.43	6

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: **E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1**

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Supan Das

Department: History (H)

No. of Students Opinion: 7

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	3	1	1	1	1	25	3.57	4
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	4	0	2	0	1	27	3.86	2
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	4	0	1	1	1	26	3.71	3
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	4	0	1	1	1	26	3.71	3
5	Syllabus is covered completely	3	1	1	1	1	25	3.57	4
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	2	1	0	1	3	19	2.71	8
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	3	1	0	1	2	23	3.29	6
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	4	0	0	1	2	24	3.43	5
9	General interaction with students	2	2	0	0	3	21	3.00	7
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	3	1	0	1	2	23	3.29	6
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	4	0	2	0	3	29	4.14	1
12	Guidence given outside the class room	3	0	1	2	1	23	3.29	6
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	3	1	0	1	2	23	3.29	6
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	3	0	2	0	2	23	3.29	6
15	Overall rating of the faculty	4	0	1	1	1	26	3.71	3

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Mrinal Kanti Choudhury

Department: Political Science

No. of Students Opinion: 100

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	B A (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	31	45	24	2	0	411	4.11	7
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	27	54	17	1	1	405	4.05	9
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	34	44	21	2	0	413	4.13	6
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	36	39	25	1	0	413	4.13	6
5	Syllabus is covered completely	38	29	34	1	1	411	4.11	7
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	36	44	18	3	1	417	4.17	5
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	35	48	15	3	0	418	4.18	4
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	28	41	28	3	1	395	3.95	10
9	General interaction with students	25	48	23	2	1	391	3.91	11
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	38	31	30	2	1	409	4.09	8
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	37	42	24	1	1	428	4.28	2
12	Guidence given outside the class room	37	39	25	1	0	418	4.18	4
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	42	42	15	4	1	432	4.32	1
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	39	38	20	1	2	411	4.11	7
15	Overall rating of the faculty	40	39	21	1	2	423	4.23	3

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers**Name of the Teacher: Sujit Das****Department: Education**

No. of Students Opinion: 100

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	BA (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	33	25	8	7	4	307	3.07	3
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	33	25	10	4	5	308	3.08	2
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	35	23	13	3	3	315	3.15	1
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	30	20	13	4	10	287	2.87	11
5	Syllabus is covered completely	25	28	15	4	5	295	2.95	8
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	29	24	14	5	5	298	2.98	6
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	29	23	16	5	4	299	2.99	5
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	29	20	19	5	4	296	2.96	7
9	General interaction with students	35	18	15	5	4	306	3.06	4
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	30	20	16	5	6	294	2.94	9
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	24	24	18	6	5	287	2.87	11
12	Guidence given outside the class room	19	30	19	4	5	285	2.85	12
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	28	22	15	6	6	291	2.91	10
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	22	19	20	8	8	270	2.7	14
15	Overall rating of the faculty	24	18	19	10	6	275	2.75	13

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Students feedback about Teachers

Name of the Teacher: Manas Majumder, Department: Bengali

No. of Students Opinion: 70

Part-A

Sl. No	Parameters	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	A (2)	B A (1)	TR=100 (WA)	WI	RANK
1	Ability of teacher to get the attention of the class.	61	7	2	0	0	339	4.84	1
2	The course ideas presented clearly by the teacher	50	15	4	1	0	324	4.63	8
3	Classes attended & conducted regularly & punctually	49	15	4	2	0	321	4.59	10
4	Proper pace of teaching maintained	47	20	2	1	0	323	4.61	9
5	Syllabus is covered completely	56	7	6	1	0	328	4.69	6
6	Use of audio-visual aids like vedios, PPTs, Group study, library reference, presentations in teaching	44	15	7	4	0	309	4.41	14
7	Information of current events, recent discoveries & views related to the topic in the teaching .	43	21	5	1	0	316	4.51	12
8	Assignment given & class tests conducted if any	44	20	3	1	2	313	4.47	13
9	General interaction with students	44	20	5	1	0	317	4.53	11
10	Explanation of difficult concepts/ quaries & problems	50	19	1	0	0	329	4.70	5
11	Answer the questions satisfactorily	62	6	0	0	0	334	4.77	3
12	Guidence given outside the class room	57	6	5	0	2	326	4.66	7
13	Project papers discussed, guided and scrutinised properly	46	18	3	2	1	316	4.51	12
14	Extra class taken to complete the syllabus	56	10	2	2	0	330	4.71	4
15	Overall rating of the faculty	58	10	2	0	0	336	4.80	2

Source: Opinion Survey

E= Excellent, VG= Very Good, G =Good, A = Average, BA = Below Average, TR = Total Respondents, WA = Weighted Aggregate, WI = Weighted Index

Value: E=5, VG=4, G=3, A=2, BA=1

Note: Based on the survey a few factors are identified and weighted index computed to find out the ranking of the factor according to their importance to the respondents using weighted index formula. To determine the important factors which need immediate attention for providing quality higher education. Consideration has been made to arrange those

factors on the basis of a rank. Again, this rank has been constructed on the basis of weighted index of each of the factors. This weighted index of each factor has been constructed on the basis of 5 points Likert Scale imposed on the number of responses (vary from subject to subject) against each of the factors. For each factor response have been arranged and put on the scale.

This is converted into quantified values of the weighted aggregate ($\sum f_i w_i$). This weighted aggregate then has been expressed as a weighted index by using the formula $WI = \frac{\sum f_i w_i}{\sum f_i}$

Where WI= Weighted Index; f_i = frequency of each category; w_i = Likert weights.

Coordinator
IQAC
GDC, Santirbazar
Santirbazar South Tripura

Part-B

Students' feedback about Course/ Syllabus

A survey was done by the IQAC among students with regard to their opinion about the Course/ Syllabus through structured questionnaire and the followings are the responses of students.

Students feedback about Course/ Syllabus				
No. of Students Opinion: 551				
Part-B				
Sl. No	Parameters	Total Respondents	Yes	No
1	Did the course/ Syllabus deepen your interest in the subject?	551	542	9
2	Is the syllabus designed for you and its difficulty level relevant to your level of learning?	551	499	52
3	Do you think the syllabus is designed keeping in view the educational development of the students?	551	497	54
4	Did you work out home assignment regularly?	551	409	142
5	Are the reference notes related to course available in the college website or given by the teacher?	551	481	70
6	Do you think the emerging trends have been added in your course?	551	473	78
7	Do you think the course would be beneficial to build your career as it is based on employability?	551	489	62
8	Do you think the teaching skills of the faculties enabled you to develop certain skill among you?	551	481	70
9	Do you think the course is designed in order to enable you to complete at national or international platform?	551	463	88
10	Does the course have strength to make you ideal citizen of India?	551	493	58
11	Are you satisfied with the present internal evaluation system of the college?	551	509	42
12	Did the faculties present the evaluated answer scripts for your observation?	551	487	64
13	Are you satisfied with the existing university evaluation system and the results?	551	491	60